

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

**FILED**

APR 29 2010

ROBERT D. DENNIS, CLERK  
U.S. DIST. COURT, WESTERN DIST. OKLA.  
BY \_\_\_\_\_, DEPUTY

\_\_\_\_\_  
U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES )  
TRADING COMMISSION and )  
OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF )  
SECURITIES ex rel. IRVING . L )  
FAUGHT, )

Plaintiffs, )

v. )

PRESTIGE VENTURES CORP., a )  
Panamanian corporation, FEDERATED )  
MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. A Texas )  
corporation, KENNETH WAYNE LEE an )  
individual, and SIMON YANG (a/k/a )  
XIAO YANG a/k/a SIMON CHEN), an )  
individual, )

Defendants, and )

SHEILA M. LEE, an individual, DAVID A. )  
LEE, an individual, and DARREN A. LEE, )  
an individual, )

Relief Defendants, )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No. 09-CV-1284 (DLR)

Relief Defendant Darren A. Lee's  
Request for MOTION TO STAY  
RECEIVERSHIP OF PROPERTY,  
BANK ACCOUNTS, AND ASSETS  
OWNED BY DARREN A. LEE

**ENTRY OF APPEAL**  
**PLEASE ENTER ME, DARREN A LEE, AS REPRESENTING MYSELF IN THE**  
**ABOVE CAPTIONED MATTER**

I am not an attorney nor do I represent myself to have any skills in such matters. I have over 19 inches of legal documents in front of me that I have no idea what most of it means. I am having to represent myself, as no attorney would take this matter pro-bono. I have no way to retain them with my house and bank accounts frozen in the courts. I am trying to research the proper way to address the respected courts in this matter and am having difficulties understanding what exactly I am reading. I am going to have trouble addressing the plaintiffs arguments where cases are listed because I do not know how to look up those cases which could be detrimental, or beneficial, to my case.

As stated in and response to **Relief Defendant Darren A. Lee's Request for MOTION TO STAY RECEIVERSHIP OF PROPERTY, BANK ACCOUNTS, AND ASSETS OWNED BY DARREN A. LEE**, dated April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2010.

**I. NEW FACTS**

1. With reference to EXHIBIT A to Stephen J. Moriarty, there is no determination that could possibly have been made by the Receiver on December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2009, just 5 days after Darren A. Lee was unjustly deposed, for Mr. Moriarty to have already made the determination of where the money came from to purchase my house, boat, and bank accounts from 2003-2009. Four and a half months after this alleged 'determination' by Mr. Moriarty, he boldly admitted to not having his analysis completed on April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2010, which Darren A. Lee submitted to the respected Court as Exhibit A to Stephen J. Moriarty. The Plaintiffs have already submitted Mr.

Moriarty's original request of his determination with their evidence and Darren A. Lee would refer to Exhibit E of the Declaration of Stephen J. Moriarty.

2. The Plaintiffs have yet to prove, or even provide legitimate evidence, that Darren A. Lee did not make the money that Darren A. Lee was paid. If anything has been proven, it has been the incompetence of the Plaintiffs to have any accurate information in their allegations. The Plaintiffs admit that Darren A. Lee has done nothing wrong and, yet, the Plaintiffs are treating Darren A. Lee, as if, he does not deserve the basic courtesy of being treated as a United States Citizen, fairly. Darren A. Lee is entitled to his rights and the Plaintiffs must not be allowed to violate those rights that reign this Land. The Plaintiffs numbers are not even factual. One minute the Plaintiffs are claiming \$8.7 million and the only amount that the Declaration of Stephen J. Moriarty is a total investment of \$5.1 million. The Third Declaration of Kara Mucha (P. 3 Paragraph 11) says it was approximately \$6.8 million with approximately \$2.6 million being paid out to investors and \$4.3 million lost trading. The \$2.6 million and the \$4.3 combines to a total of \$6.9 million and the Plaintiffs are still alleging that Darren A. Lee does not deserve the money that he was paid. Darren A. Lee has not seen any more miscalculations, or irregularities, in the Plaintiffs information, because those are the only 2 breakdowns that I have. but with the facts listed above, those numbers must not be right either. The money that was withdrawn was paid out to investors. The Plaintiffs are playing with my life as if it is a game that they do not care what the outcome of my life results in. They have unjustly frozen my assets, taken my money, and threatened my livelihood. There is no place for that in the American justice system.

## II. SUMMARY

With the above information being fact and true, Darren A. Lee respectfully requests the Court to grant the requested Motion to Stay Receivership of Property, Bank Accounts, and Assets owned by Darren A. Lee. Darren A. Lee would like to request being removed from this suit because these are frivolous allegations that even the Plaintiffs know are not true.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Darren A. Lee', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the left.

Darren Alexander Lee  
2676 Palmetto Hall Blvd  
Mount Pleasant, SC 29466  
Telephone - 843-814-3884

**IT IS SO ORDERED**, at Oklahoma city, Oklahoma, on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of April,  
2010.

---

**DAVID L. RUSSELL**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

**IT IS SO DENIED**, at Oklahoma city, Oklahoma, on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010.

---

**DAVID L. RUSSELL**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**